-

14 Yay 1965

MENORANDUH FOR: Chief, SR/CI

SUBJECT : 3-13 May Interregation of MOSENKO

- 1. Although I have attended all nine (9) sessions in the current phase of MOSEMKO's interrogation, I as yet have been unable to determine the reasons for the interrogaton(1) conduct and manner and the true purpose of the phase itself.
- 2. As the interrogator noted in the beginning, he is to be concerned only with "certain personal matters." The interrogation of MOSENKO along such lines and FEENKO's responses neither have produced any change in my opinion of MOSENKO nor have appeared to have opened up any new, useful or valuable information to us.
- 3. Perhaps, from the psychologists' point of view, this interrogation has been of some value; but, even if this is so, I cannot see it from a review of his reports. These reports all contain basic errors arising from the psychologist's lack of knowledge of Saviet meality and his lack of experience with the true nature of Saviet meality and his lack of prepared to preparly deal with and evaluate MOSENKO because MOSENKO is first, a Russian; second, a Saviet; third, a Communist; and, in addition to all of this, a highly trained intelligence agent.
- A. ROSENKO is one of a kind. It is quite likely that the psychologist never has experienced such a personality before. Then, with the wide difference in their backgrounds and the psychologist's resulting inability to understand NOSENKO's character, it is understandable that he cannot properly juage NOSENKO and his conduct. The most we can know make a on in NOSENKO's case inabscrimes are the carefully c neidered epinions of those who

PER COL

*** APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM have backgrounds more similar to that of MOSENAO and experience with persons of MOSENAO's type. This becomes more and more obvious to me as I hearing the psychologist say, "Well, he is probably telling the truth," when in I have seen clearly that MOSENAO has been lying. There have been many such cases in this Milatest phase of the interregation.

- 5. As a result of such instances instances of "soft interregation," where MOSENKO's obvious lies have not been challenged MOSENKO can now only be getting the impression that there still is a way out for him. We must remember that MOSENKO came to us prepared only for complete success or failure; he expected that in the case of failure he would be subjected to MOSENKO hard interregation, harsh treatment, strong punishment. Yet he new finds himself in the peculiar position of having MOSENCOMMANDEMENT outwardly failed in his primary assignment, yet being fairly well treated and allowed to continue with his lies and dezinformatsiya despite his detention. He can now only be thinking that there still is some hope for him and for his mission.
- 6. In his report on the 3 May session (first session), the dector wrote: "... After applicating for having stemach trouble he became most receptive and even eager to cooperate. In general his manner during the interview remained relaxed, spentaneous and relevant.... As the interview progressed it was apparent to no that he was getting considerable psychological relief from the interview. At the time he left, he actually told me goodbye...." This is typical of many such statements and incidents which are my reasons for asking do we really need this kind of interrogation? Proceedings Markon Markon



interregation should be abandened.

- 7. Elsewhere the psychologist writes that "the picutre that MOSENKO painted of his father was that of a hard working man.... Accredited as a worker at 13 or 14.... He graduated from the institute the year after MOSENKO was bern in 1928. MOSENKO tells of being teld that his father would work at home on his studies while helding MOSENKO seress his knoss to quiet him when he would cry." We know all of this except the fact that MOSENKO held his sen acress his knows from the Seviet newspaper, Prayda, in a August 1956; and what father has not held his crying child acress his knows to confort him?
- 8. It is certain that MRMM our MCSENKO did have a father, and times it is quite likely that this father held him across his kneed. Yet this does not answer the real question is our MCSENKO's father Ivan Isiderevich MCSENKO?
- 9. ROSENKO teld us in this first session that his father studied in
 the Rabfak and the institute while working full-time suring the years 1923-28.
 The dector was quite impressed with HOSELKO's statements on this. Yet Pravia netedenly the studies of (again on 3 August 1956, in ROSENKO's obituary) rain/time / MOSENKO, Ivan 1st derevich; from hoself HOSENKO, Ivan Isiderevich; also/been working at the time he was studying, Pravda would have mentioned this without fail, because it was that much more to MOSENKO's credit. Further, the 3 August 1956 Pravda clearly stated that after graduation from the institute, MOSENKO, I. I., was sent to MOM a ship-building plant.
- 10. In the same session, MOSENKO stated that at some point in 1922 his
 (3 Aug 56)
 father was admitted to the Party. <u>Fravda/states</u> that ROSENKO I. I. entered the
 Party in 1925. According to MOSENKO his family moved to Loningrad early in 1934.

 <u>Pravda</u> (3 Aug 56) states that they moved in 1935.



- 11. If MOSERKO does not remember what his father did how he torked and studied, when the family neved, when he was admitted to the CPSU why does no not say so? Why is he apparently guessing at these things? Also, why can't he remember these things when he can remember being held across his father's knees?
- 12. The psychologists report includes and assessment of MOSERKO's mether:

 "An hypothesis that could be made based on the description of the mother, would
 be that she would have difficulty adjusting away from Mikelayev where she had
 been bern and where she could depend on her mether." Of whem is this not true?

 Who does not experience some difficulty in nowing away from familiar neighborhoods
 and friends? Do we really need this assessment of MOSERKO's methers emotions of
 35 years ago? Do we need it badly enough to spend this much time and effort
 getting it?
- 13. The psychologist's report continues: "... His (NCSENKO's) mether continued to get support from her father, and they apparently lived better (or beyond their means) in Leningrad." So what? Is the point that we should thank MOSENKO's grandmether for helping INSENKO grow and come to us? MAMA

 If not, then again what is the point? Why do we need this?
- 14. It is my epinion that the type MPMM and line of questioning used MPM of present in this phase of MCSENEO's interrogation should be abandoned; we must drop this policy of letting MCSENEO ramble on freely and uninterruptedly about the uninvertant details of his youth. Instead, we should begin now to press MCSENEO and question him in detail about such things as:
- a. His father's life (we still cannot be certain that MOSENKO's father was the I. I. MOSENKO); for example, MOSENKO's father's service in Chon (& what credit was given MOSENKO for this while he was working in State Security); was it even mentioned in his file?);
 - b. His grandfather (maternal); for example, his arrest and death in

CECOTE

jail, the role this played when MESENKO entered the MGB, the manner in which it was recorded in MCSENKO's file, MOSENKO's questioning in Personnel (identities of Personnel employees included) about this; MOSENKO speke with some happiness and gratitude of the financial assistance given his family by the grandfather — the MGB would have been most unhappy to learn of this.

15. If MESERKO were really the person he claims to be he would have speken more precisely of his father's rank (rank given and date received).

According to Pravda, in 1944 MOSERLO'S father got the rank of Engineer-Rear Asmiral. MESERCEPOSION The real MOSERLO'S father got the rank of Engineer-Rear decorations precisely of the MASERCEPOSION given his father. According to Pravda, he was awarded three Orders of Lenin, Order of Makhimov Pirst Class, three Orders of Red Banner (Laber), Order of Red Star, Order of "Medal of Hener (Znak Pecheta)."

16. Further, in order to be certain that we have the real MOSENKC, we should question him in detail about his father's death and funeral, starting with the illness and the dectors in attendance through the procession from the Hall of Columns to the Kremlin wall. I do not wish to include such detailed questions in this none, but can demand produce them upon request/nhaming especially those on the funeral procession, having twice been in such procession myself.

17. According to Pravia (5 Aug 56), H. I. BOBROVNIKOV was a member of the funeral cormission and opened the funeral ceremony on Red Square. BOBROVNIKOV at that time was Chairman of the Mescew City Council. During the 13 May 1965 interview, MOSENKO mentioned a girl friend, Marina, who once worked as secretary to BOBROVNIKOV. If this was term a true statement, then MCSENKO should be able to tell us much about BOBROVNIKOV; furthermore, when talking about Marina, MCSENKO should probably have also volunteered the information that "this was the same BOBROVNIKOV who was on the commission for my father's funeral....."

18. 3 May 1965 Interview. Even new we are not certain of the date when the MOSENKO family neved to Leningrad; MASENKO says it was in 1934, but Pravida reports the move as having been in 1935. It is possible to believe that MOSENKO might have forgetten the date, but we must remember that he associates the move with his level of schooling. That is, MOSENKO says that he moved from the O-class in Mikelayev in 1934, when he was 6 years eld; he says that in Leningrad he was in the first grade.

pessible to believe that NOSENKO might have forgetten these friends if he had been had no centact with them in later years; yet NOSENKO himself says that he wisited Nikelayev twice after the family had neved from there. Certainly he must have not with some of those friends, and man it is certain also that he would remember those meetings.

b. Although MOSENKO could remember none of his friends from Mikolayev, it is interesting to note, he remembered very well that when his family reveal to Lemingrad in 1924 they were met by his father with a *GAZF automobile.

- NOSENGO remembers also that while in Leningrad his family lived at
 - (1) Pleshchad Stachek,
 - (2) Griboyedeva Kenal, and
- (3) CRASSPINIARM ulitsa Gorkage. YMM But MOSENKO could name ne friends er neighbors when he knew well while in Leningrad.
- d. NOSERKO never mentions visiting former friends when visiting former places of residence.
- e. The psychologists may accept these "lapses of memory" as being "just human," but I cannot.
 - 19. 4 May Interview. During this interview, M.SENKO changed his story

slightly, saying that his family moved to Leningrad in March-April 1935, where he started the first grade (in Spetember 1935). MOSENKO could not, however, describe the school or name even one of his teachers. When the dector insisted this time that MOSENKO name some friends, he gave the mickname, "Shturm," but failed to give the bey's true name; he also MARKE Even if gave the name, Oleg ENTHELLY SAFRONOV. Extre accept as a fact that MOSENKO manufacture really cannot remember any of his friends MARKEM MARKE from childhood, we cannot believe that he also has completely forgetten about the naval school and the tekhnikum.

20. General. During these latest sessions, NCSENKO has been trying very hard to put the record of his schooling in proper order. But in view of what has been stated in the past/himmusem and his present errors, it must be said that he is finding it impossible and he is much not a tall convincing. In my eximien everything he has told us about this, starting from the 1941 period, has been a lie. It is possible that he really was in Knybyshev and Baku for one reason or another, but MACM his then description of the circumstances and situations is unbelievable imm and fits no Seviet pattern of life.

21. On 4 May MOSENEO said that while he attended the 585th High School in Mascow, he had two friends — Vladimir KABANOV and Anatoliy TIMAKOV. But these were not friends who also lived on Serafinevich Street, nor were they children of Seviet high officials. MOSENEO failed to name any friends who were children of high officials, except SABUROV.

22. MOSERAC's description of his life on Serafizevich Street could never convince me that he ever really lived there. Among the high efficials living on Serafizevich Street, MOSERAO mentioned FERMA SHVERMIK first.

According to my knowledge, prior to WM II so many other members of the

-0....

Seviet government also were living there (KAGANOVICH, etc.). If NCSENSO is the person he claims to be he should be able freely to name and discuss many persons MANAGORM from among the first-ranking efficials who lived on Serafinovich Street; not just SHVERHIK (where address, incidentally, already has been published in The Secret World).

- 1. It was the intenti n of the undersigned to attend every session conducted by the dictor in hope to gain something new and useful for our future interrogations. During these sessions, I managed only to refresh my memory of things MOSENKO had previously said. Nothing new or significant developed during these sessions except for a few miner facts which have no great value. It should be mentioned that many of the facts that MOSENKO stated about his past life were more mixed up than the story he had proviously related.
- 2. It is not the intent of the paper to criticize the work which the doctor has done because the sessions have alreadly taken place and the work is completed. By main objection to this type of interregation is that the doctor tried to maintain a neutral attitude and never my opinion that challanged any of MCSEMKO's statements. It is/the doctor made a mistake in the last session when he teld MISEMKO "Y on are MCSEMKO." This leads MISEMKO to believe we accepted his life story and family background, and it would be very hard to question him again on this subject. It is my opinion that we did not have enough information to make a definite or neclusion that he is MCSEMKO. What MISEMKO teld us could be obtained or learned by another person very well brief on these mat ers. Mas of the information that MCSEMKO gave to us about his parents is stillnot convincing to make conclusions. Mast of the questions during the recent sessions were of a leading nature and MISEMED's answerms appeared to be of the type that the doctor wanted.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM SECRET



(-

In my opinion, MCSELMC did not say more about his father, and in many instance loss, than the newspaper Pravda said in 1956.

3. In the doctor's epinion, MCSENKO mentioned to us quite a few family friends who were around the MCSENKO family and at the first look it is a minimized with the first look it is environing that he is McSENKO. In my epinion, MCSEKO gave to us associated enly names who logically would be animized with MCSENKO or MCSENKO's revealing shout their activities or private lives. Significantly, most of the information MCSENKO has given us is about people who are mathematical end or no longer in authority. For example, MALTISHEV, (former loputy chairman of the Council of Ministers, eighly VAKHRUSHEV, (died); SABURCE, (no longer a VIP); KABULOV, (shet); MALTISHEV, (no longer VIP); KUZNETSOV, McGo., (shet); SEGMERBAKOV, (died); and otherse.

A. H rentiened a few names who are V.Ps new, but did not say anything interesting about them. For example, he rentiened SHYERMIK who livesen vicha

Serificevalura Street, a fact known to everyone, er/family friendship

Lately he mentioned Harshal ZHUKOV's on ghter who he knew-we/have
a good picture of Rima ZHUKOVA from one of our employees as well as a good
description of ZHUKCV's apartment.

5. The only conclusion we can come to from the foregoing is that RUSNERO has been allowed to say little or nothing about the lives of important Soviet officials, or this name is not NOWERKO and all he knows is the

SECRET

is the legend which has been provided him or or answers which he could figure out himself.

6. With regard to his tother, he did not cention anything of importance to us. He did not mention any of his mether's friunds among Soviet VIPS, and tried to avoid or change the subject when this matter was brought up.

7. I am not convinced that MCSENKO lived on Serimevicha and Granevskiy Streets because he gave so little information about these areas that it is impossible to judge if he actually lived there. Although he gave correct information about a few VIPs who lived in housesin that area, other details are lacking which would confirm his residence there.

8. MCSENKO's latest information on his educational background is so mixed up and he made so many mistakes regarding Seviet realities that it is impossible to believe him any part of his education.

9. I den't believe any part of MOSEMKO's story about his mil tary service.

"The Maval Preparatory School in Baku and Leningrad." According to Soviet regulation, a person was usually called in the army when he is 19 (these called be called at age 18. who have graduated from high school or otherwise have a higher caucation)

MOSEMED MOSEMED MOSEME was been on that 30 October 1927, then he would be 18 years old on 30 October 1945. He could be called into the army at this time, 1.0., the fall of 1945, although he should have been 18 by September of that year.

Ordinarily, he would be called to service in 1946. In my opinion, everything MOSEMEO said about his military background in any kind of service school prior to the fall of 1945 is a lie. MOSEMEO, then did not attend the Higher Maval Schools in leningrad and Baku because he was too young to attend these

SCURET

schools and the schools only accepted students who were ready for regular for acceptance in these schools was military service, and the lowest age/maints 18 years. Then we still have unanswered questions on where, when, and how MCSEMMO finished high school. MCCEMMO's story that he took sews courses in Yeacow Evening High Gernyy

School Attached to family Institute and his attendance at the Loningrad Shipbuild ng Technicum, I consider as a complete lie, because it does not

legical fit any/pattern of Saviet reality.

10. It is my belief that MUSINZO probably never was in actual military service and definitely did not take/military eath the way he described it, i.e., that he mixed took the oath at the age of 15 or 16 in Baku. It is not conduct necessary to make any minorizance research since an individual find to be 18 and on active duty in the service in order to be eligible to take the military eath. If we believe that MUSENAC was admitted to the Leningrad Higher Military Proparatory School in 1944 with 9 grades of education he would not be admitted to the school because of his age and because he could not take the eath until October 1945.

11. During the last interrogation, there was some confusion on MUSENAC'S part about his military ranks. And now we have three different stories about his ranks. Jr. Lt. — June 1947

Sr. Lt — end of 53 or carry 54 has stated that he was promoted to Lt. In 1950 last interview, he stated that he was promoted to Sr Lt in April or Pay 1953.

spring of 1951.

SEGNET

Capt - July 1956

Majer - Aug/Sept 1959

ablast

He new states that he never was a major.

Lt Col-Nov 1963

He said his prevention had to be approved by the Contral Committee because of his youth. These stories about his military ranks should not be taken should be seriously and/considered as a complete lie.

SOME POINTS FROM THE DOCTUR'S INTERVIEW

1. MCSEMECHEN stated (7 May 1965) that he took the cath in Baku in 1943 when he was 15 years old, but he did not remember how he correlled in the Baku school. In the same meeting, he stated that while he was in the Baku school he was komindir etdeleniya. Also in the same interview he stated that he was in the Meskovskiy Flotskiy Ekipazh. It should be said that the Meskovskiy Flotskiy Ekipazh was only for naval persennel whe were called in the regular naval service. All of this should be considered as a lie.

vhen he was 16 years eld and was mpx put under home arrest. If this indicant incident really took place, it is more likely that papa helped him to get out of the arrest or it is possible to think that after this arrest for some crime unknown to us he was put in jail and then in a concentration or labor camp. This is why ECSEMEO tells us a story that in 1944, in the fall, he was working for two or three months in the forest, where he get sick and conditions were very bad. As ECSEMEO described it, the conditions were very bad. As ECSEMEO described it, the conditions were very bad. As ECSEMEO described it, the conditions

-SEGNET -

the naval preparatory school of Frunze in 1944 but he didn't start any studies until Movember or December 1944 because the whole school was working in the ferest. Then in February or March 1945, the shooting accident took place and he spent some time in the hospital and in May he enrelled in a shipbuilding techicum. MOSENKO tried to make us believe that while he was in the naval preparatory school he studied lith grade subjects, but as we can see, in actual fact, he did not study anything because he had no time. Laters he stated that when he enrelled and in the shipbuilding technicum in May, the next menth he gumduated and received his certificate as if he graduated from a high school, and got the right to ge to a higher institution. Only a crazy person could believe this story.

A. During all the interrogations, we never got a clear picture internal how he received his first/passport in Leningrad and how he received his first military ticket. If he really was denshized and put in the meserve it should take place semathere later than October 1945 when he became 18 years old. Definitely there will be no talk about any military ticket before you reach 18 years old. And more likely at that time he should have been 19 years this to have been called into the service or posted to the reserve. It should be noted here if MCSAERO really was in the naval preparatory school in 1944 and 1945 and received his military ticket in 1945 then he definitely should be two years elder than he claims.

SFORFT

On 5 May , MCSENKO named more friends. He mentioned again SABULAY'S sons. One of them killed his wife (Valya Yefrimovay, the daughter of deputy minister YEFRIMOV). He killed her because he found her in bed with another man. Deputy Minister V. V. YEFRINOV was deputy to M. SERKO's father. H e was at his funeral and delivered a speech in Red Square during the funeral ceremeny. It was neticable that the first manages when we questioned h.m about friends he always mentioned SABURGY, MUSERKO only mentioned YEFR. MOV by name and did not give a y details. If N SENKO is the person is the portion he is supposed to to , he would known much more about the life and background of the deputy to his father. And here ag ain we have an example of a person whe is mentioned who is no longer a VIP. 6. On 6 May NOSENKO told us stories about the eldest sen of SABUROV who was married the daughter of the forrer Minister of Railroads KOVALLY . It should be noted here that the full story of KCVALEV was published in my book The Secret World. KCVALEV was punished and lost his V.P status in 1950.

7. On 21 May, in answering the dector's questiess about his first work in the KGB, MCSENKO teld us a story h w he received an order to write a statement to arrest a Soviet citizen who was connected with foreigners. (MAXIMAX The Soviet citizen wrote a letter to the American Embassy) According to HCSENKO he rejected this type of work although there was BERLYA's resolution for felt arrest (Russian work is rezmetat!). Further, MCSENKO explained that the man was not guilty. The point of this story was to prove that he was working in the SCD.

8. On 20 May RUSERKO ense again rensioned that the working hours of the EGB were from 10:30 in the morning until 1 etclock in the morning, with a

SECRET

The second secon

break between 5 and 8 in the even ng. An I already said before Mescew Headquarters until later July or August 1953 working hours were from 11 AM to 12 PM with a reak from 5 to 8 in the evening. It oblast, KGB, including Mescew city, working hours were from 10:30 in the remning to 1 eleck in the merning. Sensuhere at the end of July and the beginning of August 1953, working hours were changed and were from 9 AM to 6 PM with a lunch invite break of 1/2 to 1 hour taken between the hours of 1 PM to 3 PM for all the effices of the KGB including heasquarters and the oblast.

Then it is possible to think that have sensuhere in bescew oblast.

Leningrad, or some other city, kc/ EKKO used to work as a case officer or was closely consected with this oblast. KGB. That is where he learned the working hours. That is why by race the mistake and regarding the working hours in headquarters.

9. When the deed are is writing in the summary of KCSEKO's psychological assessment to called him a bright seciopath. Maybe he is, but in my opinion

when the dec erg is writing in the summary of NCSENKO's psychological assessment to called him a bright seciepath. Maybe he is, but in my epinion he is a very well trained agent with great ingenuity and insignam preparation. Aboutely disagree with the decter's statement that "He has been essentially c nvincing and accurate in general if not always truthful in detail." In one point it is pessible to agree with the decter that NCSENKO does not predent to us the complete legend. In my epinion, MESENKO developed his even story or legend hisself in response to leading questions, especially about his early life and schooling up to 1953. And from 1952-1953 and on , up to his defection, we should believe that it was a legend developed exception of course, with his help.

SEC.

SEGNET

SUGCESTICES.

1. It is suggested that I participate in the next hostile, needling interregation.

His also suggested that I interregate him

alone in theinterregation reem, although I prefer that semene be present
in the reem. But if I am aloneit will give me greater authority in

HISERKO's eyes, and this may be a psychological factor working to my

benefit. But if KCSEKKO is taken to another place, then it is pessible to
interregate him
who
ix asked CiA authorities tax for a long time to talk to MCSEKKO. In the

whe ix asked CiA authorities tox for a long time to talk to MASERKO. In the event of ty participation in the interregation to avoid mistakes and misunderstandings, the interregation should be held in Runsian. It is not possible to make the interregation as hostile as it might be and to meedle him if the interregation is held in English, as MASERKO does not understand strong words in English as well as he does in Russian. Larking the interregation I will not speak fast in error to make it understandable. It is understood that I am going to be correct, but not polite. I would try to avoid getting into any arguments with MASERKO. In case of arguments, I would ask him to be seated and to answer the questions. In the event he recognizes and to lis me who I am, it is possible to give two answers: 1. I am not he but he is coming soon to talk to you, and you are mistaken. 2. Yea, I am D and you are going to give me proof that you are is taken. I am have to give me proof that you did work for the KCB.

SECRET

No and the second

In case of my participation , I would like to be able to use all the information tha t MOSENKO has previously told us. In utilizing this information, I would like to have a free hand to press him on certain points which I feel would be of value to use in gaining a confession from him. I need permission to use the names of Bagley, Big George, and Hurphy, of course, only in the proper way and when it is necessary. The use of the changes in the Seviet government could be desecussed having in mind theuse those events ix at the proper time when it is ap arent that it will help him to c nfess (the use of only the fall of KHRUSHCHEV without revealing the rise of SHELE TH and SEVICHASTUTY.) It could be used in wari us ways, for example, a newspaper on the tribx table with anly the headline showing. He could be allawed to see the paper inadvertently and we could see what his reaction is. Or, the inferration could be brought out at a point in the interrogation when we are srying to stress that he must confess since we know he is telling lies, and furthermore, his bosses are no longer in authority in the S. wiet Union and all is lest for him anyhow.

- 2. During the interregation stress should constantly be given to the fact that MCSENGO is being used as a tool of the MCB without proper training of American realities, and that his missi n was doomed from the start because of this.
- 3. If it is decided to give him some drugs, litshould be given prior to the hostile interrogation, so that we can use any 68 the information gained from the use of these drugs against him. The first natter which should be mixed determined if drugs are used in whether he is MOENKO or not.

SECRET

4. I would not heat take to continue the interrogations to the point where perhaps

ECSENGO would be extremely tired and proposed less able to resist heatile enough

questioning. If there is not proposed personnel for extended periods of interrogation, then he should be left in the interrogation recommend breaks in the interrogation.

MANUFACTURE AREAS TO BE EX LIGHTED DURING THE LEXT HOSTICE INTERECORTICE

1. Everything about MCSEKO's education starting from Leningrad elementary school, move to Moscow, Moscow schooling, should be examined. And special attention should be devoted to the schools he attended in September 1941, Embyshow, Haku, Pascow, Leningrad, and back to Moscow again, During the interregation of this period, we should challenge MCSENKO by the facts that he could not be at any military school prior to the fall of 1945. Then we do not believe his story. This in erregation should include detailed questioning about his travel to Kubychev, Baku, to find out where he really was at that period. At the same time , MCSEKED should be questioned about twhere his parents lived from October 1941 thru 1942. Also he should be questioned about h.s first passport which he is supposed to receive not earlier than throck Movember 1943 and not lat r thin the beginning of 1944. The same can be said about his military ticket, i.e., when and hew he received his first military ticket, through what military commissariat, because he could not receive his military ticket earlier than Eeverber 1945. His story that he received his military ticket in the summer of 1945 is false. What redical commission hax was he examined by before he got his filitary ticket should be ascertained. It is impossible that BUSERED was on active military service prior to 1945 including any military schools because of his age. He should have been called to active duty in the fall of 1945 er fore

likely in 1946. If he was posted in active reserve in 1945 or 1946 then the question is the was not called into the arry.

Together with this gies the question of M SEESO's education x in the Institute of International Relations. The story he told us is unbelievable. First he states that it was a four year course and that he graduated in 1949 and later on he changed hissbory and said that it was a five year course and that he graduated in 1950.

2. MOSENKO's first rarriage to TELECIN's daughter and diverce. Couthis subject, MCSEMED should be questioned about his registration of the carriage, passports, what district was the carriage registered in , about banks apartments on the First Feshchanskaya Street, including his military status at this time, what kind of military ticket he had, when it was registered. Fore définite questions shoule be asked about the diverce announcement in the newspapers and full procedure of the divorce including the two court sessions (district and eblast' courts). Alirany - the exact amount of alimony, reminding to him that earlilier he told us that he beid to his first wife 150,000 rubles form his money which he carned serving in the Far East. According to the latest MCSEME statements he spent in the Far East less than 20 months. If this is the case, then MCSEENO 33333 received more than 5,0.0 raubles a month. To make during the 20 months the 100,000 rubles Even if ECSEMEO was in the Par East and peceived there a double salarly as a jr. lt. the first year of service in the GRU, he could not make more than 3,000 rubles a menth (I gave here the highest possible salarly for a junior

3. Both of the subjects mentioned above should be muchanish investigated

officer)

-SECRET

along with the matter of where N. SENKO was living at that time, aying s ecial a tention to Serifenicha, Granevskage and First Feshchanskaya Streets, keeping in mind that these subjects will really shew if he is EOSERKO. If we do not get proper answeres on hhe first two subjects, if he continues to lie or give unsatisfactory answers, then in my epinion it is not necessary to question him about his study in the foreign relations institute. If the answers are satisfactory or more realistic then we would move to the Institute of International Relations. 4. NOSENKO's Entry to the KGB. Because we have 4 or 5 different dates on his entry into the KGB I think it would be a good idea to start quustioning him from the point when he said that once prior to 1952 he had complete interview with the KGB manuscrative representative about his employment in the KCB, but he rejected their preposal because he did not like it. From this point we would go on from the beginning somewhere in 1952 when he first talked with KABULOV and se on. And he should be challenged all the time that EGB did not work he way he described to us. Then somewhere during the interrogation he should be reminded or asked questions could a person be in KGB service if he:XXX

an recommend to the KGB by a person who was shot to death as an energy of the people.

b. whose jother's background is noble (averyon), and whose graddiather was a Tretskiyite and who was arrested and died in jail.

c. whose fither of first wife was arrested and was in fail the day he entered the ACB.

SEC

- the guilty and question about he was his immerality was discussed in the party commission of the CPSU
- e. who entered the KGET when he was already overage member of the Komsomel without any desire to become xxx a newber of the Communist Party
- f. whould a person with such background mentioned above be taken to work in the SCD American Department
- g. who wer lest his Komsemel rembership because of his misuse of operational documents and immorality.
 - h. who was arrested for 15 days for the reasons centiond above.
 - i. who was withoug Kemsomel and party membership for 2 years.
- j. who rejected the order of the Minister to write a statement for arrest in the wourse of his work .

 etc.

It is very hard now to develop questions about h s entry into the KGB but he should be challenged all the time about his answers.

- 5. I did not mention about his being in the Far East and how he was transferred from GRU to KGB. The line of questions on this matter would be depend or connected whith answerms inx about his education and how he entered the KGB. Questions about his taxi transfer from the GRU to the KGB could be put together when we will question him about his entry into the KGB, his personal file, and military ranks.
- 6. I would definitely utilize and show to him the number 7, what is connected with it, anijust ask him for an explanation.
- 7. MOSENKO's trip to Gorkly in search of CHEREPARKY. This question should

SFORET-

stabled from the point where he first heard of CHEREPANOV's defection from Moscow, MCSENKO's trip to Gorkly and how long he studied the CHEREPANGY papers. Here all the NOSENKO documents should be an hand. 8. HOSEHKO's operational and social activities on Red Square during the holi.ays and father's funeral also wine KCSENKO's presence at the Kremlin should be closely investigated because it is my belief herwas often in Red Square as he claimed. If he was there, it was only once or twice and it would be easy to mix him up about these matters. Questions on this subject could be developed according to his answers. 9. I to ld like to take MCSEMKO on a trip from Kurskiy Station in Moscow to his apartment on Narodnaya Streetalong Chkalovskaya Street and Taganka . Also on a trip along Dzorzhinskiy StreetSretenka Street and First Meshchanskaya Street to his apartment building where he lived with his first wife. This is necessary to be sure that he real y lived in these places. I still do not believe that he really lived at these addresses, except for the fact that he may have laved nearby in sufehouses. 10. Interrogation on operational questions such as his work with agents and his use of safehouse, etc. could be held later. It depends how the present interrogation goes.

Note: I have no special suggestions how to exploit MCSEMMO's information, it depends on the next stage of the interrogation, but I am against taxing turning him back to the Soviets, whether he confesses of not.

We have have to reverything in our power to take him confess in order to win this hig game.

If we publish his story even with our changes without his confession

-SFRRET

SEGRET

we will be on the losing side. There is no room to intentification that defection is that the act of an honorable notivated can without his confession

CFORFT.

AR.

14 January, 1964

as necessarily incremented, an the understand did not have access to the original doorseass; not has it been possible to delete them. 0374400 regard at some of the Soviet docuconf. a. i face masorial confuserd to the WEI provided ma-I server, destite the e shortcomings, the following analysis is offered in the help that it may serve as guide for fature debricking of the CSWALD. It is my firm belief that such further debriefing of Mrs. OCHALD is necessary because of masero's mistakes in her Soviet. documentation; suspicious gaps and discrepancies in her story regarding her lafe in the MSE; and some peculiarities in her correspondence with addressees in the USSA, which strongly suggest that some form of open code was employed

> APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROCRAM

rior to be employed by the company domaintallon on should be asked but she secrived the matromysde Alesteyovan - her biolen tee anim indicates she was bord one of wedlock. This is a efficiently one birth rectificate is warranter arms of the Enther is not listed. late two tell many deviate grace of for many cheation of tasfard caredoon. If she took the getrooping of for stepfather, less battonged a smooth to detectable voa, after her steplar we's given miss. In the larget police state, birth registration records are reticulously maintained. Especially of regard to the payments of norbora eletteren, this is a life-long indicator of the father of the child. As is well known the decivation, Profession, politics, eto. of the father Conquestly openas the future security off flower of deeps, their qualification to enter the CPSE, below in istates at bearing, government service etc. الله في المراجع المراجع المحمولية في الأربي المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع ال

From my con experience in the deviet security services I's

know that the Soviets will not register the name of the father if there has not been a formal marriage - even if the identity of the common-law father is known.

birth Certificates - Actually three copies of Marina's birth certificates are furnished. ITEM #340 is a copy of her birth certificate issued on 19 July 1961, in Arkhangelsh. The other two birth certificates are contained in ITEMS 264-270. These are notarized copies of ITEM #340, notarized in MINNE. One of these later two birth certificates was procured on 4 Aug. 1961 and the second was obtained on 8 Aug. 1961. Two different notary's notarized these two birth certificates - both obtained in Minne within a four day period. By the hand-critical it is obvious that the same person filled out the MINNE tirth cortificates - only the notary's signatures

ato different Regarding all three birth certificates
the following questions should be asked of Marina:

- a) The origin of her NIKOLAYEVEN patronymic.
- b) That happened to the original of her birth certificate which she must have had in order to enter primary school, pharmacy school, and to get her presport when she reached the age of 16 in
- c) Thy did she request a second copy, marked "TOUTORNAYA", of her birth certificate ITEM #349 from ARRHANGELSKY (C) -
- d) ITEM #340 was issued in Arkhange as about a 1000 kilometers from MINSX. Did she travel there personally to apply for her birth certificate? Did she write for it? Tas is sent to her through the mail or did she pick it up at the Binsk militia headquarters.

What reason did she give the ARKHANGELSE militia

birth certificate. Why was she applying for this birth certificate on 19 July 1961.

e) The ITEM #340 birth certificate is supposed to be an exact duplicate of the birth certificate issued after her birth. This normally is issued several days after birth because parents do not usually rush down to register the birth of a child on the day of the birth not even in the USSR. ITEM #340 shows that MARINA's birth was registered on 14 Aug. 1941 and that she was born on 17 July 1941. Barina applied for copy of this certificate on 19 July 1961. For some reason the 9 in 19 July 1961, is marked over and is an obvious change of the figure 4 to a 9 in the following way 4. An examination of the original ill better skoz if this was a marked over delt ----

berate change. Such a change could only be signifi-

issued in ARKHANGELSK. Personal document conscious Soviets would note such an obvious change. Certainly if she applied for the two notacized copies of her birth certificate using ITEM #340 as a basis for these new copies she would be closely questioned and it is more than likely that notarized copies would not be issued on a marked over original. Now it might be possible that the first notary would not have noticed the marked over original. However, a second notarized birth certificate was issued in Minsk by still another notary.

4. Appointing Textificate. (feetude) in ITATS (18-270)

In connection with discussion of Larian OSTAIDT. Table

of binds, it is methodile to note that this data is

given as TEXTATE on her variables conficate. This

indicates that IT only is the data she interest to use

as a bint into The termine as actural encounce.

42

using the engine year -- 1600 -- in the date, however,

dues nothing to dispet the confusion of the year of her

birth.

a) West distant might be a feed about the professione to the temperature and in obtaining the certification. It appears that at least four percent their involved in the completion of with sectificate of a meina signed her came to the certificate of a meina signed her came to

of their (Cosselly Lee also filled in these Slaubs

5. Salitary corrice Booklet (Voyeta) Bilet; hucluded in

different days.

tible tile 170). Exemination of hery degree of the parties information matrix among the found matther in Marina's autóbiography nor in the reports on interviews with her.

- date of birth, the with a pharmonst's training -arrived in Simple at the and of August 1950. Thy
 was the net issued a vegeeny bilet until 12 Sept. Short Further, thy was no registration stand
 placed in the booklet until 16 October?
- b) According to Ice OSTAID's diary, Marina was a Kossomol member. The military service backlet, hweever, shows that she was weither a Komsomol or COSE member. What proper explanation can thermal offer for this:
- c) In Section XII, "Special notes, (Osobyre Otmethi)
 of this document, it is shown that Marina was given

the durament also shows, however that on disgust this notice was cancelled. One legical explanation for this might be that the cancellation was made when thrina informed the commissariat of her marriage. But Mrs. OSIAID should be asked about

thus, and he, enswer should be noted carefully.

d) Sestion X of this document shows that as noted above. Marina was registered in Minsk in Franzenskiy Mayon on 23 October 1959, whence she was detragistered on 1 August 1961; on 9 August she was registered in Leninsky Payon. Mowhere in Marina's autobiography, the report on the FSI'm interviews of Marina or any other official papers belonging to the Oscilla, is there any sention of the change of residence which allocal required this change in

registration. There is some discussion of the change

in the childless correspond see, with an indication that Pair apartment in transcostly hopen was ceoccupied almost as soon as the OSTAID's left. Mrs. Oswall must be asked about this move in detail. Thy lid they move. What were the exact addresses? the were their neighborst. And so on. This have is all the more interesting, not because it was given to little attention in Mrs. Osmald's testimony (it seems she has offered little detailed coverage of any part of her life), but because it took place in the middle of the period in which the OSSMD's more arranging to return to the B.S. According to Lee's diary for the period. 15 July to 20 August, the OSCALD's found that they vere required to have around theaty documents in order to apply for an exit visu; they submitted

tiens documents on to August and Johnson that they

In the save a three-walls fare continued to Die a continued to Die acceptance which the continued the continued to the contin

- 6. Work Paghtey. (ITEM #316) It must be noted here that the copy of this document is supplied is interplete; unless the resounder of this document has been difficild, it is necessary to know the Turius had only this position of her Turius Paoblet.
 - as Another charge in Marian's the tours occurred of the tour of the first partial is covered in this document; by an order, dated of July 1981, Tarana ross transferred, apparently

The resolution of the countries for this charge.

One investigation of the remons for this charge.

One in Tariy is light of other change asied in in

on the Committee of the soriet - also at the same time.

that - Committee "from of the soriet - also at the same time.

that - in examination of the original is required to de-

Trade Union Pocklet. (Professionly bilet: included in ITEMS 17:-37:) There are a number of irregularities —

indicated to questions below— in Saring's Profesymmyy [2:3.45:3];
butel for which simply togical explanations might be found. They do not occur alogy, because, and in view of the accurrence of shall a and applicably rectains in way a could so in indice attendance to shall a and applicably rectains.

that is a second of the contract of the co

reserves a committee to confidence of the conception. Control of the Control of the Control and so a 2 Me Hood Torrhead to 1759 sectors and o more time it. This says book for converse, percent her trade outon does payments reginning only in lane 1950; Couther it shows that in 1969, she made early the payments fone, of I rubbet the second, of 50 colects). After July 1959, as payments are recens thankil tanuary this in which year are made monthly payments antil furnist. No liter payients are shown. Aside from the question of teregularity Of organita, we must ask down it has possible for with to have paired through the 1900 1959 control without daying does at all. It is not conside and the fore the his wet as had at least oneof the hide duties beauties along those three years. ground that Marita was resume how Frest

and the control of the sey and the first of the control of the con

and the state of the state of the state of

The other to the term of the property

In the least shows the content of any interest of the content of t

In the foresteen one of the street of the st

It tops right be underly to official issuing such the distribution it is into arbits to totage that the formation to the official continuity north error in recording ber year of birth. It is probable that someone other than Varion filled in the information required for this document; then, either the tesning official or marina deliberately lied in this instance. The bere, when and by when he are no made information recorded.

Personner (Video references of the accommand and supporting f

papers at soud, it is difficult to find locarental grounds for a number of questions which might be asked of Mes. OSSMID. In any case, however, hereanswers to the following inquiries concerning ber passwort will be of greatest importance and usefulness.

a) Shy was Mrs. OSTALD given a massport made valid from 11 January 1961 to 11 January 1964; It might be said, of course, that it was expected that sim would no longer need the massport after the latter date because sie was the mile of a F.S. citizen returning to the M.S. to stay.

According to her was on page 17 on 14 May 1962

the west granted our quota (2-1) states for entry into the U.S. There was not the prespect cade

validation of pears, when the case expected or centraling to be must been seen to in the passpect) — to import the post, on e. before I December 1980. Did see expect to return to that her relatives? Light two years: On the as get end-terminal satary of a can unable to purchase a passage to the U.S. on the light of his own resources! See also paragraph to a below.

- b) Thy was Jame's name entered into both farina's and toler's assignate instead of just into Lee's?
 - c) Air ough the OSSAID's sees given official permission to leave (see exit visa) only or II danuary lade, sols digry notes that they brow of this on 5 Japaney has were they laformed?
- d) Emrinally penalt was made walled astill I December 1981, but the drary notes that they had only 6 months in which to leave. Why? Although some explanations for this can be found, it seems best to position are. OSTAID and let her give the explanations.
- 9. Various Captificates. (ITE 1 #345).
 - the Contificate of "Information on Fermons Coing of the American of Transport title as Aranitan)."

The entries on the copy of this dominal an provided for he are analysistely illigible in spits con-

. The confidence to a second the supplementally conditions make the organizating dec. 65 William pass. calest visa; they be particularly true of the portion bearing ber statement of destination and proposed length of stay. It would be now tintary strag also to know what is written beneath the when a "private swit (obestay) vygaselyn, in ber State out on the purpose of the stangers. Ste was not Anti- on their chair and her arm) one working they Startum movetant of the committee was art of given in the space for money and dirthdates Charman of marrhers of the family going abroad? Thy did the person dompleting that portion of darlea's certificate reading the date upon which her passport was submitted to the Maistry of largin Affairs and the number and date of the cover letter fail to fill in the above-mentioned information, after apparently starting to provide it? b). Contificate of vaccination for date, issued By the Wiesk First City Children's Ecspital, May 14, 1982. This date does not correspond Wexnelly to the date of vaccination (15 May) given

· in Jan : USBALD's imernational Certificate of Vacci-

that I'm on Revalermation operast Smallpox.

one to water marties information from the hospital containing a letter in explicate contificate adjusted, it is near unlikely that such an exemple explicitly in which of the classic with which his hapt is written on he hearth metilicate. See plant cheft it a) below:

Cavally dated 4 Suptember 1133. These is nothing date of about 1133 and as nothing date of about 1133 and as nothing date of about 1133 and as nothing in the copy given event that it was about the tracks papers as I that it, so on all other types of papers possibly, has savel and received at his 1, loss Cavally and as my the Cavally nesses when the 1, loss Cavally and as my the Cavally nesses when the capture of the capture o

All information required in completing Merina's prarmacy school lipitest has been entered therein, with the exemption of the general flor entry into the school. IN view of the new takens by the resulphile official to see that ext. The form paying his characterist was entered in the appropriate error, this enterior.

of those (see it a)) is of greaten apportance to our

considered at the moment, hearing as it does on the discussion of percentanties in the OSUMID shot records. The reportance of the mesond is yet to be proven.

a) Pertificate of revaccination (for Marian), issued by the Midsk (exact designation unclear is copy) Solyclinic No. 3, 18 May 1961. Again. (see paragraph 9 b) above), the date does not correspondence tty: to the date of vaccination (16 May) given in Macina's International Contificate. Here also it is unlikely that an official certifying a vaccination given by someone else at an earlier date vould have mistaken the date as given on the original contificate. Then if the contifying ship's physician merely accepted the OSSAID's word for the date of the vaccinations, why did he not so indicate in the International Certificates: Now did it happen that he also accepted their word for Marina's revaccination, on a second (16 May) date? Why ... was he given a second date? Surely even if the OUTMD's had been unable to remember the exact date. They would have remembered that the vaccinations were accomplished on the same day. Then, why did Marina not return to her former place of employment (the Combined Third Clinical Mospital), for the vaccinations? Remembering that, according to the

the installar free considers and form and mally were the installar as free considers, and that where its get a stage of it is possible to believe that Marian had a free consider to the children's hospital half then went to Palyelinic No. If because it was also refer to that hospital. It is also possible that Marian was instructed to have the vaccinations done only at these piaces. But by whom had under what circumstances were those instructions i made. The other similar had been instructions in made in during some matical mariable in during the consistency of the cases.

by Taylott youther. Indica should be asked when and to must summer this item case into her possession and who entered the time of asked (Ostatio) -- upon it.
By ite appearance -- i.e. by the categor for earried name and in accompany with its purpose, naless it belonged to bee, multiply in view of his contaly write y, it should have been prepared some time tothers. It should have been prepared some time tothers. It should have been prepared some time tothers in the ort; but dominant they was this worker, and is other topic by whether the bettom portions as the variety of the series.

ologiska tva blisku it ba top 17. ta di<mark>curant dre</mark> 1981: 1981: Albert Folgisanska i**tt kaleine, ti**os The content mentions of a contribution of a contribution of the co

The life is a second of the second of the latter of the la

first in any busis for adapted in

a) I. Combon's accepting uppy maken grown the year of tem pertonic death to the form, the most or extent that in both erace. Formal fund the venetion utoff, then has no written the date to read M1957m. Then tid has mother did it it ressonable that of en so for years, and for such a contical point in her life, dance; would next a make an error of a pear (point example page).

as Basing ther guestions regarding Macina's lather, the year of her birth and that on which she entered planumer school or which were discussed in connection with her documents, we must look at Marina's list of relatives in the PSSE. If this autobiography was prepared for the purpose of getting a wish to the US -- if was written in the USSR (see note on husbani's place of work), was it necessary to list uncles and aunts: If so, mly did she mention only the BERLAY's of Wisk and omit contion of the PHOSATOM's, also of Minsk. Comparatively little contagt between Tarina and the DERLOV's while in the 45%, and more (a) correspondence) since in the US.). to op record; while the did live with the Paulanov's are Additional annel with breften erest grenteter if webbite bieberm ber mir bit. arrepresentation continues of in the wood of their latercommunistication fig. The fine top presently rade in mention

conditions it seems, because the PETECT's, remitted By conditions it seems, because - Unity Unsaliversal products - was morning in the hamitation-applicate-logical Detachment (PEO) in Sieck. In that form were Marian's relations with the EEELOV's? What contacts did the OSWAID rave with the EEELOV's? What contacts became ment" and after the marriage. Did the EEELOV's observe to Marian's carriage. Did the EEELOV's observe to Marian's carriage. To Dear So an American? Then all sty did the apparent Sweak in relations take

that occasioned the proparation of this autobiogrammer as it, is regressed above, to accompany an application for a visa; hat it to accompany a request for an exit permitty is any use. Marina -- a citizen of the USSE store birth, fully aware and thoroughly practiced to the regulation of tradet life ... dertainly should know very sell that such an autobiography as that she has offered would never be accepted even as a Theres' netoleography", as final by Soviet emetted at feet. It as general state to mecanic attact that, loss a hone falls man on the treet So a t. doughte any promptions from the or from ordersy officials, she would think this traces U.S. not posities, too. Bore then, lare all the basis doin its of her tide - mass, dates, he is hard grosses to find this spotty more out of the extremation from the Libertain Course Lines. The same of the same of a selection

y to a significant of the position of the form of reportage of the form of the form of the position of the form of the form of the positions of the form of the fo

- (1) Then Marinals mether married Alchsender Ivanovich SECVIDINESS why did he not adopt Marina edificially and letailly According to the material of hand. Marina was for a yeary point child mean her mether are ried MARININESS. The Mid-Marina continue to live with her a comparence for such a long time after the marriage.
- (1) Thy, after living so long with her geneducther did who then return to live with her mother and step-father. Upon whose decision did she make the changer
- (3) When and why -- before Barina want to live with them And her nother and stopfather more from Arkhan-techn. to the Beloavian Stat. That was the stopfather dotter there:
- (d) That excasioned the family's move to loningrade flow war in a stopfather transferred. Or did he change organizationary to Lantagrad as a give within the same organization be must have had a very need position and remaintains. Also, according to the interview removed, the atopfather was a midtled solve topological and organization by fothers (see teards) which is an arrived from heat lamily -- if five step-, or father signed these he is nearly illiterate.

- () Spite the consections ((we) in the autobiodensity under to segard to the year of Garman's mother's sectly possibly to coincide with her scatterent that her somer died during her second year in the Pharmacy Soldensin.
- deap store on Earlie Proposes dering for last year in school. Under what discussiances and she go to work there? That were her duties: You (and how much) was she paid for this work! What atter details (names! of supervisors fellow worsdes; hours of work, etc) can also provide about this job? How was this job tornibated?
- (7) What type (official designation) of pension did Marina and the other children received. To whom (to the children directly, or to the stepfather) did the pension fails flow was it received: What was the amount of the pension.
 - (8) Marina said she took her meals away from home.
 There was she able to do this? That was her
 total income?
 - first tob after graduation that made her quit after so to day. It is one thing not common, but not un-

The second template may be assigned; but it is another that see so the sold then quit after one say. Therefore or had these experts a right to a S-day trial beneformula as barran actioned, it is almost impossible for her to save quit and give on vacation so easily. First, she would have been in trouble immediately with the Komsoner and her trade union.

Sevend she has said that she spent little time in the stepfather's home taking her meabs outside, because of strained relations. For, there she stayed, apparently with to impose - no may and no rere pensions, either from school or government. Then, her was she able to do this?

said that she fixed with an aunt and encle PRESAKOV her mother's brother and his wife. Warina has said
nothing more in these interviews about the BERLOV's,
whom she above mentioned in her autobiography (see
paragraph if a (2) above) Marina should be asked
about too's connections with both the PRESALOV's
and the BERLOV's: particularly with the latter:
lee has mentioned other relatives in his dury and
alicese book, but never the PRESALOV's (in this case,
the emestion should be addressed in just that way ---

(21) thereas a reasons for refusing to identify verience figures from her past - former boyfriends, an can intunces and even the woman who was her sapervitor in the pharmaceutical warehouse, - and for giving such vague answers to some questions regarding her background and her life with Lee must be ascertained. her accitude and conduct in these interviews obviously is other than that which can properly be expected of the nerson she represents herself to be. Her testimony regarding her social life in Leningrad and Minst prior to macriage is most suspicious. Her statemost's regarding the attitude of her aunt and uncle -an NVD colonel or lieutenant colonel. - to Lee and to her marriage to an American, taken as part of her. stary of being "just a plain ordinary girl in love", are just unbelievable. Inother example of this strange, combination of refunal to give names and outright doparture from the realities of Soviet life is to be found in Marina's story of her first meetings with how usaville she refuses to name mutual acquainturnes present at these meetings and tell of returning to her hors with this American to whom, she gives, ber phone number, the those number of an AVD officer. And the WD officer poses no objections to her dater most tors, even marriage, with the American

(etc. Three his explanation of the renerator cultime the fitting as quite undonviousness. That depends one difficulty with the rune. Lee, the charbus next chairs would be and have been "Lev", or even "Aleksey", in proference in resp.

to "Alik". She should be questioned further about this.

DRAF*

inow more than she has total include the reason for the samployment in Minsk, mather than Toscow and the reason for the difference between Lee's high pay for his unstilled labor and for low salary. Tith regard to the latter, even fill the only reason was just as Lee himself presented it; he received a subsidy grant through the Red Cross 4- with no other considerations to be made, certainly Marina would have known of this, and, maless there were something sensitive about the subject, should not be religious to discusse it.

Yet, her testiment would indicate the known little or nothing of these things. Why?

her hashand's nature? At Marina remarked that...he did not again a discuss politics with her or, to her knowledge with any other as or lates (see page 16.)" It is not remarkable that an ordinary man-on-the-street American would not discuss politics to a noticeable extent. But

It is deviced that pro-Courage with way, defend fided Costrol study the Pessian Conjuge and prepare to recovere their citizenship and go to the USUR? How many return to the US to proclaim things Marxist views and hand out pro-Castro pamphlets on street corners. And to assassinate the President of the US? And how was such men, usually, most outstanding for their verification, are able to keep noticeably sitent on political subjects even before their gives, while spending two or three years in their "political homeland". Marina must be questioned closely shout these things, just as she must be questioned closely in order to identify these "other associates" with whom Lee OSMALD did not discusse politics.

- KHAR'KOV of which we would know nothing were it not for the small collection of letters written to her during her stay there. Why did she make no mention of this trip, yet talk freely of her vacation trips to Leningrad, etc. What was the purpose of the trip? What other details (length, place of residence, etc.)
- 13. In brief, as indicated in the foregoing, it fis the belief of the undersigned, based on the materials made

31

available that daring Child and an relational, thoroughly and are consil rable length, by arts expects and leans available in order to clarify for story and her cole in the actions of her husband following their return to the US.

MEMORANDUM OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Chief, SB/CI/K

00

ITEM : AEDONOR Interrogation Transcripts

- 1. Submitted herewith, as requested, are AEDIPPER/20's verbatim transcripts (15; English-language) of his interrogation of AEDONOR, 26 July-13 August 1935; the first five (5) of these transcripts are accompanied by the corresponding verbatim Russian-language transcripts (Russian-language transcripts for Reels #8 6 and 7 are ready for typing, if required); an index to the names mentioned in all of the transcripts also is submitted. Further, the original English-language transcripts (15), with corrections, are being returned to Headquarters with this memo; the fifteen (15) corresponding tape recordings already have been returned.
- 2. With regard to the renainder of the original Headquarters request, it has been agreed that AKDIPPER/20's transcripts are self-explanatory at most points and that his background comments on the course and content of the interrogation will be reserved, to be addressed to any future specific questions and requirements generated in Headquarters review of those transcripts.
- 3. It should be noted here, however in view of part three (3) of Headquarters' request that AEDIPPER/20 did not use false or unfounded statements for any reason in any of the interrogation sessions; it is believed possible that ideas to the contrary may have arisen during review of the original transcripts, which included many instances of improper interpretation of the Russian "double negative". Reviewing the interrogation, AEDIPPER/20 noted only two (2) points at which he did not have a basis in detailed personal knowledge (to 1954) or specific documents for statements made to AEDCHOR:
- a. HRPs (Norskoy Eazvedyvatel'nyy Punkt Navy Intelligence Point) AEDIPPER/20 stated that at the time AEDONOR was assigned to duty with an MRP on the Baltic other MRPs also were in operation in that area; in fact, although AEDIPPER/20 knows that other MRPs were in operation there, he has no knowledge of their specific designations.

 APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

- b. Letter on KRUGLOV's Removal AEDIPPER/20's statement that a letter explaining KRUGLOV's removal had been circulated within State Security was based upon personal knowledge, not of the fact itself, but of the State Security practice always of circulating such letters following the removal of other State Security chiefs, such as ARAKUMOV and BERIYA.
- 4. AEDIPPER/20's English-language transcripts employ the following key (not repeated in the transcripts):
 - a. A AEDIPPER/20;
 - b. B AEDONOR;
 - c. C T. H. BAGLEY (in the transcript of Reel # 15 only);
- d. () Single sets of parentheses enclose transliterations or, in case of
- e. (2G), (XG), (XM) indicate a number of missing or garbled or otherwise "unreadable" words;
- f. (()) Double sets of parentheses enclose transcriber/
 translator remarks, explanations, etc., in addition to/not part of
 interrogation content;
 - g. (? ?) -- Queried transcriptions are thus indicated;
- h. ... Ellipses indicate either a brief pause in speech or an unfinished sentence, not omitted or "unreadable" phrases;
- 1. ___ Underlines, except in cases of book and periodical titles, indicate emphasis in speech.
- however, there has been some "emelioration" of the few obscenities found therein.

BR/CI/P

Attachments : por pars 1

Distribution :

Orig & 1 - Addressee

AEDIPPER/20